Qase 3:09-cr-02039-WQH Document 47 Filed 04/28/11 PageID.99 Page 1 of 2

- 1 - 08cr 00541

summarily dismiss a § 2255 application "[i]f it plainly appears from the motion, any attached exhibits, and the record of prior proceedings that the moving party is not entitled to relief." Rule 4(b), Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings for the United States District courts. When this standard is satisfied, neither a hearing nor a response from the government is required. See Marrow v. United States, 772 F.2d 525, 526 (9th Cir. 1985).

RULING OF THE COURT

In this case, the record conclusively shows that the Defendant is not entitled to the relief requested. A claim for credit against a federal sentence for time spent in custody is not a proper section 2255 claim because it challenged the Attorney General's execution of sentence and not the district court's imposition of sentence. *United States v. Espinoza*, 866 F.2d 1067, 1070-71 (9th Cir. 1988).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence by a person in federal custody ECF No. 46 filed by Defendant is denied.

DATED: April 28, 2011

WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge

- 2 - 08cr 00541